

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/712,837	11/10/2000	Robert D. Studdiford	66033-12 (6190-54302)	9281	
7590 12/10/2003			EXAMINER		
Robert D. Becker			BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN		
Mannatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLC 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Palo Alto CA 94304			3632		

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/			
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/712,837		STUDDIFORD ET	ΓAL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1			
	Gwendolyn		3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the c	over sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event reply within the statuto riod will apply and will e atute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be ry minimum of thirty (30) o expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ation to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timel om the mailing date of this c NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{1}$	7 March 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non	-final.		•			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			•				
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction. 	drawn from cons						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a		objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note	the attached Offi	ce Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been ents have been oriority document eau (PCT Rule list of the certifie estic priority und e first sentence of provisional applestic priority und	received. received in Applicate have been received to the following the following the following the following the specification ication has been receiver 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ation No ived in this National ived. 9(e) (to a provisiona or in an Application ecceived. 20 and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(Il Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

This is the fourth office action for serial number 09/712,837, Bicycle Accessory Mounting Apparatus, filed on November 10, 2000. The indicated allowability of claims 1-20 and 30 are withdrawn in view of the following issues presented below. Any inconveniences are regretted.

Specification

All reissue application filed on or after November 7, 2000, the specification, including the claims must be presented in the form of a copy of the printed patent in double column format.

See 37 CFR 1.173(c)(1). The entire patent to be reissued should be presented including the cover page. Therefore, the single column format is objectionable and the should be replaced by a substitute specification and claims in compliance with 1.173. See MPEP 1411

Proof of Ownership by Assignee under 37 CFR 3.73(b)

The proof of ownership by assignee under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is improper. This statement must be signed either by someone who has apparent authority to represent the assignee such as the president, vice president or other officer or by anyone provided there is a statement in the 3.73(b) document that the person who has signed the document has been given the authority to represent the assignee. Also, the 3.73(b) statement does not indicate who the assignee is. This must be made clear in the 3.73(b) statement. See MPEP section 324.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Defective Reissue Declaration.

The reissue declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP section 1414. Applicant must identify specific error(s) to be relied upon.

Additionally, specific changes or amendments must be discussed and if new claims are added, their differences from the patent claims must be pointed out. See MPEP section 1414.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 and 30 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9, 11-14, 16, 19 and 20 of

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837

Art Unit: 3632

surface of a support or accessory.

13

Page 4

copending Application No. 10/301,324. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. '324 claims a mounting base and cinching or strap member. The mounting base has a first and second ends, a mounting base slot for receiving a cinching member therethrough. Furthermore, the mounting base has a mounting base first curved portion at the first end, a mounting base second curved surface at the second end, and a mounting base left and right slot openings. The cinching member is adapted to slide through the slot and secure first and second objects at the mounting base first and second curved portions, respectively. The cinching member has a fabric strap having a fabric strap first hook portion, a fabric strap first loop portion, a fabric strap second hook portion and a fabric strap second loop portion. The strap includes a fabric strap buckle and is secured to the fabric strap buckle engagement portion functioning to facilitate the securing of the fabric strap. The mounting base is flexible so as to allow the mounting base to deform and has a shore-A hardness of 40-85. The mounting base first and second curved portion having an arcuate surface adapted to engage a

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837

Art Unit: 3632

Remarks

Applicant has filed a continuation application 10/301,324 filed November 20, 2002.

Applicant should clarify his intent to file application 10/301,324 as a continuation of the reissue

application 09/712,837. See In re Graff, 42 USPQ2d 1471.

If applicant amends the patented claims as a result of any subsequent prosecution such as a

double patenting rejection, a supplemental declaration will be required to cover the errors being

corrected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is (703) 308-0702. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Eastern Time

Zone.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113. The fax phone

number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

gb

December 1, 2003

GWENDOLYN BAXTER
PATENT EXAMINER

April Line 3632

Page 5